Q Search

Debby Willar -

Name of journal : Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management Website journal : https://www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/journal/ecam

Journal quartile : Q1

ISSN : 0969-9988

Publisher : Emerald Publishing

Title : Influence mechanism of job satisfaction and positive affect on knowledge sharing among project members: Moderator

Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management

ID/TITLE

role of organizational commitment

ID paper : ECAM-10-2018-0463.R1

Date assigned : 19-Apr-2019 Date review returned : 10-May-2019



POBLISHING

# Home

Review

Referee View Manuscripts

ACTION	COMPLETED		
Select	~	10-May-2019	;

ECAM-10-2018-0463.R1
Influence mechanism of job satisfaction and positive affect on knowledge sharing among project members: Moderator role of organizational commitment

STATUS

Minor Revision (28-May-2019) a revision has been submitted

Assignments:

DE: Thomson, Derek EIC: Anumba, Chimay

EA: Thomson, Derek

Influence mechanism of job satisfaction and positive affect on knowledge sharing among project members: Moderator role of organizational commitment

## Referee Affiliation

Manado State Polytechnic, Civil Engineering Department

Manuscript ID:

ECAM-10-2018-0463.R1

**Manuscript Type** 

Original Article

Keywords

Knowledge Management, Questionaire survey, Organization

Date Assigned:

19-Apr-2019

**Date Review Returned:** 

10-May-2019

req 1. Originality: Does the paper	contain new and significant	information adequate to justify
publication?		

Yes

req 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Yes

req 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Yes

req 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

Yes

req 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Yes

req 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the fields and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

Yes

The Do you want to get credit for reviewing this manuscript on Publons? [what's this?]

By selecting "Yes" you are opting in to the Publons service and data about this review (including your name and the review itself) will be transferred to Publons. You may opt-out of the service at any time.

Yes

## Recommendation

Accept

Confidential Comments to the Deputy Editor-in-Chief

## Comments to the Author

The author(s) have made improvements based on the reviewer's evaluation. This article has improved a lot. Therefore, this article is acceptable for publication.

Files attached

Author's Response Files attached