

GCoMSE 2017 - Notification of Acceptance GCoMSE2017-063

From: The Global Congress on Construction, Material and Structural Engineering 2017 (gcomse@confbay.com)

To: fmakalew@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 at 08:36 AM GMT+8

Dear Ms. Febriane Paulina Makalew,

Congratulations. Your submission (GCoMSE2017-063) entitled "Influence of Children Pedestrian Behaviour on Pedestrian Space Usage" has been **accepted** for presentation at the conference.

You are therefore requested to submit "Camera-ready paper" before **Friday, August 04th, 2017**. When submitting the camera-ready paper, make sure you follow STRICTLY the format of our conference proceedings.

The reviewer's comments, if any, are provided to assist you in preparing your camera-ready paper. You may submit your Camera Ready paper here: [Submit Camera Ready Now](#)

Also please don't forget to do highlight on revised paper

We would like to remind you that the **Conference Fee shall be paid 4 weeks before conference date**. Failing to do so will result in ineligibility to have your paper published in the proceeding. The The Global Congress on Construction, Material and Structural Engineering 2017 will be held on 28 - 29 August 2017 at Millesime Hotel, Johor Bahru. Malaysia.

Thank you for your cooperation and attention.

We are looking forward to seeing you at the conference.

Best Regards,

GCoMSE 2017 Administration

Tel: +607 456 4314

Fax: +607 453 6588

Email: wirda@uthm.edu.my

Website: <http://jrc.uthm.edu.my/gcomse2017/>

Reviewer's Comment:

REVIEWER 1:

Comment to Author:

The paper presents an interesting study topic. Suggested revisions are:

- 1) Requires grammatical and sentence structure corrections.
- 2) Use a clearer map and indicate names of places in Figure 1.
- 3) Figure 2 should be "distribution of samples"?
- 4) Explain further and provide details of the research method in Section 3. For example, define the age of children; explain the profile of urban and rural schools; walking distance/duration of the children covered in this

study.

5) How were the factors determined? Was questionnaire asked to the children?

6) In lines 93-94, please explain "show their behaviour".

7) Figure 6 is interesting and can be useful to guide pathway design. Please indicate which figure is urban and which is rural. Useful to indicate the drawing scale or road width.

8) What would be the recommendation for planning good pedestrian areas? Useful to provide some recommendation in Section 5.

REVIEWER 2:

Comment to Author:

The major problem for this manuscript is its grammar composition and writing structure. Important points and explanations were not clearly explained thus giving a lot of confusion for the reader to understand.

The writer should reconstruct back the entire manuscript with proper grammar and sentence structures for its idea to be understood and able to be delivered clearly. Same goes to its abstract, major corrections should be done so that it is able to clearly explain the overall idea of this manuscript.

The legends and indicators used for the graphs in Figure 3, 4, and 5 were not clearly differentiated. The colors of the indicators used were hard to be differentiated resulted in misinterpretation of the data presented.

The symbols 'V' and 'X' used in Table 1 and 2 were anonymous. The reader does not understand the symbols used in the tables, hence resulted in confusion in understanding the data. The symbols should be explained clearly so that the data is clearly presented.

Figure 6 supposedly to show the difference of space usage on child behavior but it was not clearly presented to show the difference. The writer should indicate and compare the patterns based on the behavior of child pedestrians in urban and rural areas. The patterns will be much easier to understand if it is being group based on the urban or rural areas and being compared in term of its patterns.

Overall, this manuscript has its own potential. However, it needs major correction in terms of grammatical and writing structure for the points mentioned and discussed in the manuscript to be clearly understood by the readers. The tables and figures provided should be corrected so that the data presented can be understood.

REVIEWER 3:

Comment to Author:


Overall, the paper is good, the title is suitable and the content is moderately sufficient, but need some observations to improve the quality and readability of paper.


The similarity index of this paper is less than 25% including references, which satisfied the requirement.

This paper can be accepted for presentation and publication. However, the author needs to adhere to all comments made by the reviewers.

The quality of the digital images should be at least 150 - 300 dpi. Please check the format of paper (please refer to conference website for the format) such font, figure, citation, and references. Otherwise, your paper will not be indexed in Scopus.

please correct the citation in the text using numbering system (e.g. [1], [2] and etc.) as stated in the format of paper.

 35604.pdf
811.5kB

 reviewer_2.pdf
1.8MB